

In contradiction to the notion of the 'unaware' fetus, the everyday experience of pregnancy – the felt behaviours and responses of the unborn baby, especially to sound – as well as much primary research literature on the human fetus, contains strong evidence for an opposite view. There is an extensive literature, in humans, on fetal sleep and wakefulness,³ fetal motility,⁴ fetal memory,⁵ fetal hearing,⁶ fetal breathing and its control⁷ and fetal behaviour⁸ – and these are just examples that scratch the surface. None of this work is easily reconciled with the notion of a permanently unconscious human fetus. The third point in box 1 is simply not true.

Once the notion of the 'unaware' fetus is robustly dismissed, the observations of parents, nurses and neonatal doctors, on newborn but extremely premature babies, together with rigorous research, become relevant. We know from our everyday experience that there is no substantial difference between the behaviours and responses of babies at 23, 24 and 25 weeks. Over the last 20 or more years, researchers have accumulated good observational, experimental and pathophysiological reasons to consider that babies at these gestations do feel pain, that they benefit from analgesia, and that pain experiences in early life cast neurophysiological and behavioural shadows far down childhood.⁹ Equally importantly, babies have a right to receive humane treatment. We work from an ethical imperative that even though these babies cannot verbalise their experiences, and cannot remember them in any way comparable to a child or adult, they should not be subject to pain or distress if we can possibly prevent or treat it. From this argument, there is no reason not to treat the 23-week fetus like a 24- or 25-week fetus, just as we do for babies.

Conclusions of the summary of evidence

- The lack of cortical connections before 24 weeks implies that pain is not possible until after 24 weeks.
- Even after 24 weeks, there is continuing development and elaboration of intracortical networks.
- Furthermore, there is good evidence that the fetus is sedated by the physical environment of the womb and usually does not awaken before birth.

Main practice points

- The case for administering analgesia before an invasive procedure (in addition to maternal general anaesthesia) after 24 weeks when the neuroanatomical connections are in place, needs to be considered together with the practicalities and risks of administration of fetal analgesia in continuing pregnancies and the uncertainties over long-term effects.
- Evidence that analgesia confers any benefit on the fetus at any gestation is lacking but should be a focus of future research that will need to include medium and longer term as well as immediate outcomes.
- D However, the need for maternal sedation before fetal interventions such as transfusion or feticide is still recognised, as it provides both maternal and procedural benefits.

Just in case we might have had some difficulty with the 'awareness' argument, the report dismisses observed neonatal pain experiences thus: "Extremely preterm infants of 24–30 weeks of gestation show the same motor responses to a noxious heel lance (required for clinical blood sampling) even when there is severe damage of the pathways connecting the spinal cord and brainstem to higher brain centres". The argument here is that since the behaviour occurs even in the absence of a cerebral cortex, we can't know whether it is experienced cortically in babies who do have a cortex. Simplistically this is true, but it does not invalidate the precautionary principle of prevention and treatment of pain in case it is being experienced, which is an ethical rather than a scientific argument, nor does it affect the evidence in relation to the long term neurobiological effects of pain experiences in preterm babies. The fact that the stress and pain reflex response arcs act at multiple levels (spinal cord, brainstem nuclei, thalamus and cortex) in no way refutes the concern that where a cortex is present, pain may be experienced.

One notices statements in the report such as: "Interpretation of existing data indicates that cortical processing of pain perception, and therefore the ability of the fetus to feel pain, cannot occur before 24 weeks of gestation". We could rewrite this as 'in theory they can't feel pain, therefore they don't'. It is the substitution of wishful thinking for empirical enquiry. It reminds me of my days as a medical student when I was taught that once the periosteum was anaesthetised, bone marrow aspiration was painless because there were no nerve endings in the bone. As soon as I came to perform bone marrow aspiration I realised that, whether there were supposed to be nerve endings or not, the procedure caused deep bone pain. So: should we deny patients' real experiences on entirely theoretical grounds, or accept them and look harder for the underlying cause? We now know that bone is richly innervated, but older techniques of bone histology were unable to demonstrate the fibres.¹⁰ Analogously, we need to spend more time understanding the fetus from the point of view of pregnant women, and seek explanations for the observations. Instead, it seems that this report constructs a theoretical viewpoint and then tries to squeeze the contrary observations of the real world into it – just like the naked emperor in Hans Anderson's story, who in his vanity, and because enough courtiers agreed with him, considered himself clothed.¹¹

In contrast to the scientific position of the report, the recommendations for practice are a model of common sense. In the noise of the media concern about the interpretation of the science, this important point was overlooked. So it is a pity that the first two question-and-answers in the section for women and parents consist of didactic statements about the inability of the fetus to feel pain that in my view cannot be supported from the literature.

Where I cannot be critical is in relation to the report's call for more research. In preparing this editorial I noticed that there seems to be no scientific literature on fetal behaviours that uses the maternal experience of quickening, or other aspects of fetal responsiveness, as its basis. This is a huge methodological gap, because although ultrasound studies are both detailed and objective, they only ever take place in artificial environments and can only be undertaken for relatively short periods of time. We need ambulatory fetal activity monitoring so that the circadian and ultradian patterns of fetal behaviour in daily life can be delineated. The second issue is that the literature on fetal behaviour, perception, organisation, movement and responses focuses largely on fetuses above 28 weeks of gestation, with a relative lack of studies on the fetus between 20 and 24 weeks. This results in too much reliance on neuroscience, too much reference to animal work, too much extrapolation from both of these and too little real-world human investigation on which to base a realistic view. No one would deny that there are important issues to be confronted, but a sensible debate needs a solid base of rigorous empirical enquiry. As it stands, the report is an emperor with no clothes. We need to dress him.

References

- 1. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. *Fetal Awareness Review of Research and Recommendations for Practice*. London: RCOG Press 2010. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. *Fetal Awareness: Report of a Working Party*. London: RCOG Press 1997. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 3.
 Mirmiran M, Maas YG, Ariagno RL. Development of fetal and neonatal sleep and circadian rhythms. Sleep Med Rev 2003;7:321–34. CrossRef PubMed Web of Science Google Scholar
- 4. ← de Vries JI, Fong BF. Normal fetal motility: an overview. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2006;**27**:701–11. <u>CrossRef</u> <u>PubMed</u> <u>Web of Science</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 5. ← Hepper PG. Memory in utero? *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1997;**39**:343–6. <u>PubMed</u> <u>Web of Science</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 6. ← Hepper PG, Shahidullah BS. Development of fetal hearing. Arch Dis Child 1994;71:F81–7.
 <u>PubMed</u> Web of Science Google Scholar
- 7. Jansen AH, Chernick V. Fetal breathing and development of control of breathing. J Appl Physiol 1991;70:1431–46. <u>Abstract/FREE Full Text</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 8. Robles de Medinaa PG, Visser GH, Huizink AC, *et al.* Fetal behaviour does not differ between boys and girls. *Early Hum Dev* 2003;**73**:17–26. <u>CrossRef</u> PubMed Web of Science Google Scholar
- 9. ← Fitzgerald M, Walker SM. Infant pain management: a developmental neurobiological approach. *Nat Clin Pract Neurol* 2009;**5**:35–50. <u>PubMed</u> <u>Web of Science</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 10. ← McCredie J. Nerves in bone: the silent partners. *Skeletal Radiol* 2007;**36**:473–5. <u>CrossRef</u> <u>PubMed</u> <u>Web of Science</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 11. Anderson HC. Anderson's Fairy Tales. Ware: Wordsworth Editions 1993. Google Scholar

View Abstract

Footnotes

Competing interests: The author supports the current UK legislation in relation to termination of pregnancy.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.



If you wish to reuse any or all of this article please use the link below which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's RightsLink service. You will be able to get a quick price and instant permission to reuse the content in many different ways.

Request permissions

Copyright information: Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

bmjcareers

Consultant Neonatologist
Dublin, Leinster (IE)
Applications are invited for the following

full-time post on a Type B basis under the Consultants' Contract 2008 Recruiter: Temple Street Children's

Apply for this job

University Hospital

Locum Consultant Neonatology

Glasgow, Glasgow City | This is a whole time, or maximum parttime post commencing on the 1st Aug

2018 for a period of 11 months. Recruiter: NHS Greater Glasgow and

Apply for this job

Clyde

Paeds & Neonates Consultant South East England

Athona Recruitment is looking for an experienced SpR locum, specialising in Paeds & Neonates. The ideal candidate will be available to start as soo...

Recruiter: Athona Apply for this job

Consultant in Paediatric Oncology

Cambridge, Cambridgeshire £76,761 - £103,490

The successful applicant for this replacement post will join the established team of four full time Paediatric Oncologists

Recruiter: Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Apply for this job

Consultant Dermatologist Leicester, Leicestershire

Applications are invited for the post of a Consultant Dermatologist based in the Department of Dermatology

Recruiter: University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust

Apply for this job

More jobs 下



Medical paternalism and the fetus John Wyatt, J Med Ethics

Assessment and management of pain in infants

P J Mathew et al., Postgrad Med J

Anaesthesia, amnesia and harm Walter Glannon, J Med Ethics

Emergency analgesia in the paediatric population. Part I: current practice and perspectives. S C Maurice et al., Emerg Med J

Prenatal ultrasound biometry related to subsequent blood pressure in childhood. K V Blake et al., J Epidemiol Community Health

Powered by **TREND MD**

No Evidence to Show Fetal Pain in Second Trimester Mark S. DeFrancesco et. al., Medscape

Fetal Surgery: Pioneering Technology ☑ Laura A. Stokowski et. al.., Medscape

Does a fetus need analgesia? Univadis (UK)

Does Continuous Epidural Prolong Second-Stage Labor?

Not Free Will After All? 🗹 Editors, Medgadget

\$

I consent to the use of Google Analytics and related cookies across the TrendMD network





JOURNAL

Editorial board

Subscribe

Thank you to our reviewers

Sign up for email alerts

About

CONTENT

Latest content Current issue Archive Browse by topic Most read articles Responses





Website Terms & Conditions Privacy & Cookies Contact BMJ

Print: ISSN 1359-2998

Copyright © 2018 BMJ Publishing Group Ltd & Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. All rights reserved.

HELP

Contact us

Permissions

Advertising

Online: ISSN 1468-2052

Feedback form

Reprints

京ICP备15042040号-3





AUTHORS

Submit a paper

Open Access at BMJ

Instructions for authors